

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 27 2009

PTOL-413A (03-09)
Approved for use through 04/30/2009. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/726,423-Conf. #6827 First Named Applicant: Roy Schoenberg
Examiner: V. Frene Art Unit: 3626 Status of Application: PUBLISHED

Tentative Participants:

(1) Attorney Jody Bishop (2) Attorney Lisa Joni Collins
(3) Examiner Sheetal R. Rangrej (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: Tuesday April 28, 2009 Proposed Time: 2 PM Eastern (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: Proposed Claim Amendments for Discussion (Attached as Exhibit 1)

Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims/ Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Obj to Drawings | <u>1 & 2</u> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) <u>35 USC § 101</u> | <u>claims 1, 12, and 19</u> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) <u>35 USC § 102</u> | <u>claims 1-36</u> | <u>Soong (App. Contests the reference is prior art)</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applicant will present proposed claim amendments and request the Examiner's opinion regarding how to best amend the claims in order to expedite the issuance of the application.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Jody Bishop
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

44,034
Registration Number, if applicable

Application No.: 10/726,423

Attorney Docket No.: 68729/P032US/10614704

Attached is a proposed set of claim amendments for discussion during the Interview.

Applicant would like to discuss the objections to the drawings.

Applicant would like the Examiner opinion regarding whether the amendments cure the Examiner's statutory subject matter concerns.

Applicant would like to discuss the 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection of the claims and discuss the best way of amending the claims to expedite allowance of the claims.

Soong (the cited reference) generally discloses that patient medical records may be stored in a database so that a patient may designate various persons access to those records by giving each person a password. So, by way of example, if a physician wanted access to the patient's medical records, the patient would give the physician the patient's password, then the physician would need to login to the database using that specific patient password. As such, the physician must keep track of different passwords (a unique password for each patient) and input each unique password for each individual patient to access each of the various patients' records. Thus, if a physician has been granted access by 20 different patients, the physician must keep track of 20 different passwords and input each of the 20 different passwords to gain access to each of the 20 different sets of medical records.

Embodiments of the present invention relieve the medical service provider from having to manage or input individual passwords for each patient by providing a key organization system that stores and manages each patient's access key. Thus, the key organization system (rather than the service provider) stores, retrieves, and automatically inputs each patient's access key when the physician requests access to a set of medical records. In some embodiments, the service provider need never know about the access key because the key organization system itself handles the management and inputting of the access key.

Applicant thanks the Examiner in advance for taking the time to have the Examiner Interview and looks forward to speaking on April 28th.